

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/396,715	09/15/1999		YOUDONG TONG	5619-NEEC	7845	
26689	7590	12/30/2003			EXAMINER	
		LD, ALLEN & D	ARNOLD JR, JAMES			
CHICAGO,	VACKER DRIVE IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1764		
				DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{L}$
- A	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/396,715	TONG, YOUDONG6
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit
	James Arnold, Jr.	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the coversh et with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	September 1999.	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	a/or election requirement.	
	inar	
<ul><li>9) The specification is objected to by the Exam</li><li>10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 1999</li></ul>		piected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a language aspecific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application and provided in Application and provisional application has been estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 first sentence of the specification provisional application has been estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	cation No eived in this National Stage eived. 19(e) (to a provisional application) n or in an Application Data Sheet. received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific
Attachment(s)	_	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 July 2003 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan et al. (USPN 5,632,865) in view of Stein (USPN 4,842,716).

The Kaplan reference discloses a method of inhibiting fouling (which includes coke formation) of heat transfer surfaces using a phosphorous sulfur compound including phosphite

Art Unit: 1764

esters, thiophosphite esters, phosphate esters, thiophosphate esters and mixtures thereof. See Abstract and Column 1, lines 1-35. The Kaplan reference discloses treating a petroleum feedstock (which enters into an ethylene cracking furnace) with at least 10 ppm of a phosphorus-sulfur compound. See Column 5, lines 55-63 and Column 6, lines 1-10. The reference discloses contacting the phosphorous-sulfur compound with steam and nitrogen, which is an inert gas. See Column 4, lines 9-21 and Column 4, lines 45-50.

The reference does not disclose heating a phosphorous-sulfur compound to yield a heat-treated phosphorous sulfur compound exhibiting a <sup>31</sup>P NMR peak between about 93 and 97 ppm and contacting the heat transfer surfaces with the heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compound. The reference does not disclose heating the phosphorous-sulfur compound at a temperature of from about 160 C to 500 C for about 5 minutes to about 3 hours to yield a heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compound and introducing the heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compound into the pyrolysis furnace coil. The reference does not disclose a method wherein the phosphorous-sulfur compound is heated in an oxygen and water-free atmosphere. The reference does not disclose a method wherein heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compound is injected into the pyrolysis furnace from about 20 minutes to about 24 hours prior to processing the hydrocarbon feedstock nor a method wherein heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compounds are injected into the pyrolysis furnace simultaneously with hydrocarbon feedstock. The reference does not disclose a method wherein the carrier is a natural gas.

The Stein reference discloses introducing a heated, aggressive liquid additive into a vapor-containing process stream.

Art Unit: 1764

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the process of Kaplan to include Stein's heated, aggressive liquid additive because Kaplan discloses that antifoulants themselves may pose corrosion threats and this threat is eliminated by thermal treatment. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to heat a phosphorous-sulfur compound to yield a heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compound exhibiting a <sup>31</sup>P NMR peak between about 93 and 97 ppm and contacting the heat transfer surfaces with the heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compound because the changes in NMR resonance would naturally occur with thermal treatment and it would be appropriate to heat the phosphorous-sulfur compound to any degree necessary for effective fouling inhibition. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to heat the phosphorous-sulfur compound at a temperature of from about 160 C to 500 C for about 5 minutes to about 3 hours to yield a heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compound and introducing the heat-treated phosphoroussulfur compound into the pyrolysis furnace coil because Stein generally discloses thermal treatment and it would be appropriate to heat the phosphorous-sulfur compound to any temperature effective for fouling inhibition. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a method wherein the phosphoroussulfur compound is heated in an oxygen and water-free atmosphere because this allows for more control and stability in the thermal reaction zone. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a method wherein heattreated phosphorous-sulfur compound is injected into the pyrolysis furnace from about 20 minutes to about 24 hours prior to processing the hydrocarbon feedstock or a method wherein

Art Unit: 1764

heat-treated phosphorous-sulfur compounds are injected into the pyrolysis furnace simultaneously with hydrocarbon feedstock because the phosphorous-sulfur compound must be injected prior to or contemporaneously with the hydrocarbon feedstock in order to fully utilize its antifouling properties. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a natural gas carrier because natural gas is a hydrocarbon as is the feedstock.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are deemed unpersuasive. Applicant asserts that a significant reduction in both coke accumulation and coking rate is observed with a thermally treated phosphorous-sulfur compound while a marginal reduction is seen with non-thermally treated phosphorous-sulfur compound and that Stein does not disclose any heating temperatures or heating times. Applicant's Table 1 in Example 7 of the specification only shows coke inhibition with thermally treated phosphorous-sulfur compound and does not show the results of non-thermally treated phosphorous compound as compared to no coke inhibitor and therefore applicant's results cannot be fully analyzed. Furthermore, applicant does not show any distinct advantage by utilizing his claimed temperature and times for heating the phosphorous-sulfur compound. Therefore, for at least the aforementioned reasons the Examiner maintains that applicant's invention would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Arnold, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1443. The

Art Unit: 1764

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30 AM-6:00 PM; Fridays from 8:30 AM-5:00 PM with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

ja

December 18, 2003

Walter D. Griffin **Primary Examiner** 

Page 6